

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vuginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/068,270 05/04/1998 KENJI UCHIYAMA 9319S-000062 7590 07/25/2003 G GREGORY SCHIVLEY EXAMINER HARNESS DICKEY & PIERCE MITCHELL, JAMES M **PO BOX 828** BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2827

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application No.         | Applicant(s)   |  |
|---|---|-------------------------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 09/068,270              | UCHIYAMA, KENJI  |  |
|   |   | Examiner                | Art Unit   |  |
|   |   | James Mitchell          | 2827   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |                         |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |   |                         |  |  |
| 1)🖂   | 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 May 2003</u> .  |                         |  |  |
| 2a)□  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th   | is action is non-final. |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims   |   |                         |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-25 is/are pending in the application.   |   |                         |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |                         |  |  |
| 5)□   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                         |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-25</u> is/are rejected.   |   |                         |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |                         |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers   |   |                         |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                         |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 May 1998</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                         |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |                         |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |   |                         |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |                         |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                         |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |                         |  |  |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |   |                         |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |   |                         |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents   | s have been received.   |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents   |                         | cation No.   |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |                         |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |   |                         |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |   |                         |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |                         |  |  |
| 1) Notice   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Inform     | nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>nal Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |
| S. Patent and Tra<br>PTO-326 (Rev   |   | ion Summary             | Part of Paper No. 0722   |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/068,270

Art Unit: 2827

### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1, 2, and 4-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The added material, which is not supported by the original disclosure, is as follows: vacant spaces. Further explanation of the rejection is discussed in examiner's response to arguments. Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 13, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in regards to the phrase, vacant spaces.

Previously in the office action filed July 2, 2002, examiner defined a space as being an area without any adhesive, but applicant responded (in its remarks filed August 21, 2002) that the prior art's spacer were not "vacant spaces." Subsequently (remarks filed May 13, 2003 on page 13) applicant has further attempted to define "vacant spaces," by indicating that the present invention "spaces are devoid of any material." Applicant contends that support for the amendment is found in the drawings, specifically item 33 and the specification page 19 stating, "spaces are formed in the heating and pressurizing process... portion of the bonding layer flows out toward the exterior of the semiconductor device."

Application/Control Number: 09/068,270

Art Unit: 2827

Contrary to applicant's assertion, this does not provide support for "vacant spaces." The plain and ordinary meaning of the word vacant means "being without content or occupant," which applicant has correctly defined: "vacant spaces" are devoid of any material. As defined, vacant spaces are neither express, implicit or inherent in the section relied on by applicant in its argument or anywhere in applicant's original disclosure. As for applicant's reliance on the drawings, the claim "vacant spaces" is a negative limitation that cannot rely on an illustration for support, simply because it appears to show spaces with no material. M.P.E.P 2173.05 (i) (The mere absence of a positive recitation is not basis for an exclusion.).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Mitchell whose telephone number is (703) 305-0244. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-8:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (703) 305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3230 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Art Unit: 2827

Jmm' July 23, 2003

DAVID E. GRAYBILL PRIMARY EXAMINER

La EMO